PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 11344P5 WO/KTC FOR FURTHER AC		CTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416			
International application No. International filing date PCT/GB2005/000024 07.01.2005		(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 30.01.2004			
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC A01N25/00, A01N47/02						
Applicant RECKITT BENCKISER (AUSTRALIA) PTY LIMITED et al.						
 This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36. 						
2. This REPORT consists of a total of 5 sheets, including this cover sheet.						
3. This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, comprising:						
a. Sent to the applicant and to the International Bureau) a total of 4 sheets, as follows:						
sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).						
sheets which supersed beyond the disclosure Supplemental Box.	beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the					
b. (sent to the International Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carrier(s)), containing a sequence listing and/or tables related thereto, in computer readable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).						
4. This report contains indications re	lating to the following it	ems:				
☑ Box No. I Basis of the opin	☑ Box No. I Basis of the opinion					
☐ Box No. II Priority						
☐ Box No. III Non-establishm	Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability					
☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of						
applicability; cita	ations and explanations	 with regard to novelty supporting such staten 	, inventive step or industrial nent			
☐ Box No. VI Certain docume						
	in the international app					
☐ Box No. VIII Certain observa	tions on the internation	al application	•			
Date of submission of the demand		Date of completion of th	is report			
09.11.2005		30.03.2006				
Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:		Authorized Officer	guene Petenten.			
——— European Patent Office						
D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465		Bertrand, F	<i> </i>			
		Telephone No. +49 89 2	399-8606			

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

AP203

10/587112 24 JUL 2006 International application No. PCT/GB2005/000024

_	Box	No. I	Basis of the report
1.	With	h regard d, unles	d to the language , this report is based on the international application in the language in which it was otherwise indicated under this item.
		This re	eport is based on translations from the original language into the following language, is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of:
		□ nut	ernational search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)) plication of the international application (under Rule 12.4) ernational preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)
2.	hav	o heen	d to the elements* of the international application, this report is based on <i>(replacement sheets which furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this poriginally filed" and are not annexed to this report):</i>
	Des	cription	ı, Pages
	1-42	2	as originally filed
	Clai	ms, Nu	mbers
	1-57	7	filed with telefax on 09.11.2005
		a sequ	uence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing
3.			mendments have resulted in the cancellation of:
		☐ the	description, pages claims, Nos.
		☐ the	drawings, sheets/figs sequence listing (specify):
		☐ any	table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):
4.	□ had Sup	not be	eport has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below en made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the stall Box (Rule 70.2(c)).
			description, pages claims, Nos.
		☐ the	drawings, sheets/figs
		⊔ the □ any	sequence listing (specify): table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):
	*	If it	em 4 applies, some or all of these sheets may be marked "superseded."

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT **ON PATENTABILITY**

International application No. PCT/GB2005/000024

Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-57

Claims No:

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

Claims No:

1-57

Industrial applicability (IA)

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

Yes: Claims

1-57

No: Claims

see separate sheet

AP20 Rec'OPGIPTO 24 JUL 2006
International application No.

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

PCT/GB2005/000024

Re Item I Basis of the report

The documents mentioned herein are numbered in accordance with the order they appear in the International Search Report.

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

The present invention relates to the control of parasites (e.g. fleas) by using a bait for rodents containing a systemic insecticide (fipronil) to kill the parasite and a rodenticide from a list (see the present claim 1) to kill the host rodent and prevent the spreading out of the parasite population.

The present application fulfills the criteria of Article 33(2) PCT, because the claimed subject-matter is new with respect to the prior art as defined in Rule 64(1) to (3) PCT: none of the cited prior art documents disclose the specific combination of the rodenticides according to the present claim 1 with fipronil.

D1 discloses the principle of incorporating in a rodent bait a systemic insecticide e.g. fipronil for controlling ectoparasites on e.g. rats and further indicates that the simultaneous use of a rodenticide improves the control of the diffusion of the ectoparasites.

The purpose of citing D2 was only to have a list of alternative compounds known for their rodenticidal activity. The purpose of D2 was not to kill rodents, but its teaching is not limited thereto: D2 clearly provides a list (paragraph 145) of compounds which are known to kill rodents.

D3 (the closest prior art) discloses the simultaneous use of a systemic insecticide against parasites like fleas and of a rodenticide in a rodent bait to efficiently kill both insects and rodents to control plague. Fipronil is used as systemic insecticide and specifically bromadiolone is used as a rodenticide in the described tests. The palatability problem is addressed as critical for the uptake of the baits.

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

PCT/GB2005/000024

The exclusion from the present claims of bromadiolone as a possible rodenticide distinguishes the present application from D3 with respect to the question of novelty. However, the meaning of this difference has no clear consequences on a supposed contribution to the art. It appears that the teaching of D3 has been broadened and that the specific teaching of D3 has then been excluded. The present invention is therefore nothing more than an alternative to the art, where a rodenticide has been replaced by a list of alternative rodenticides.

The amount of fipronil has seemingly to be lower than 200 ppm in the bait for avoiding toxicosis in rodents. Such a consequence however is strictly speaking dependent on the dose ingested by the rodent in mg/kg of the rodent rather than on the concentration in the bait: it depends how much bait is eaten and such a toxicosis depending on the sole fipronil concentration has not been substantiated. Moreover, the rodent is simultaneously supposed to ingest a lethal dose of rodenticide so that the question of the rodent ignoring the bait at a later time is not relevant.

The conclusion of D3 as to palatability did not relate to the bait as a whole, i.e. to the repelling impact of the pesticides used. It rather concerned the bait base used, which was a very basic one. Anyway, the skilled artisan knows that the pesticides mostly have repelling effects impairing the level of killing. He would have adapted the composition of the bait so as to minimize the pesticidal content while maintaining an acceptable killing level (be it for environmental or economic reasons). He would also have used a bait base, optionally with attractants/feeding stimulants, which is a attractive as possible for the specific rodent(s) to be treated. Therefore, the criteria of Article 33(3) PCT are not fulfilled because the subject-matter of the present claims 1-57 does not involve any inventive step.

The present invention fulfills the criteria of Article 33(4) PCT, because the subject-matter of the present claims 1-57 is industrially applicable.

5

20

25

CLAIMS:

- 1. Use of an effective amount of a rodenticide selected from the group consisting of brodifacoum, difethialone, flocoumafen and mixtures thereof and an insecticidally effective amount up to 200ppm of fipronil in the manufacture of a bait composition to provide a lethal effect on fleas and a host rodent thereof following ingestion of the bait composition by the host rodent.
- 2. Use according to claim 1 wherein the amount of fipronil is at least 1 ppm.
- 3. Use according to claim 1 wherein the amount of fipronil is at least 2 ppm.
- 4. Use according to claim 1 wherein the amount of fipronil is at least 10 ppm.
- 10 5. Use according to claim 1 wherein the amount of fipronil is at least 40 ppm.
 - 6. Use according to claim 1 wherein the amount of rodenticide is from 10 to 250 ppm.
 - 7. Use according to claim 1 wherein the amount of rodenticide is from 20 to 100 ppm.
- 15 8. Use according to claim 1 wherein the amount of rodenticide is from 25 ppm to 50 ppm.
 - 9. Use according to any one of claims 1 to 8 wherein the rodenticide is selected from the group consisting of brodifacoum and difethialone.
 - 10. Use according to any one of claims 1 to 5 wherein the rodenticide is brodifacoum and the amount of rodenticide is about 50 ppm.
 - 11. Use according to any one of claims 1 to 5 wherein the rodenticide is diffethialone and the amount of rodenticide is about 25 ppm.
 - 12. Use of an effective amount of a rodenticide selected from the group consisting of brodifacoum, difethialone, flocoumafen and mixtures thereof and an acaricidally effective amount up to 200ppm of fipronil in the manufacture of a bait composition to provide a lethal effect on ticks and a host rodent thereof following ingestion of the bait composition by the host rodent.
 - 13. Use according to claim 12 wherein the amount of fipronil is at least 10 ppm.
 - 14. Use according to claim 12 wherein the amount of fipronil is at least 15 ppm.
- 30 15. Use according to claim 12 wherein the amount of fipronil is at least 25 ppm.
 - 16. Use according to claim 12 wherein the amount of fipronil is at least 40 ppm.
 - 17. Use according to claim 12 wherein the amount of rodenticide is from 10 to 250 ppm.
 - 18. Use according to claim 12 wherein the amount of rodenticide is from 20 to 100
- 35 ppm.
 - 19. Use according to claim 12 wherein the amount of rodenticide is from 25 ppm to 50 ppm.

10

- 20. Use according to any one of claims 12 to 19 wherein the rodenticide is selected from the group consisting of brodifacoum and difethialone.
- 21. Use according to any one of claims 12 to 16 wherein the rodenticide is brodifacoum and the amount of rodenticide is about 50 ppm.
- 5 22. Use according to any one of claims 12 to 16 wherein the rodenticide is difethialone and the amount of rodenticide is about 25 ppm.
 - 23. A rodenticidal bait composition comprising: an insecticidally effective amount up to 200 ppm of fipronil; an effective amount of a rodenticide selected from the group consisting of brodifacoum, difethialone, flocoumafen and mixtures thereof; and
- at least one feeding stimulant.

 24. A composition according to claim 23 comprising, by weight: at least 0.0001% fipronil;

from 0.001 to 0.025% rodenticide; and

- 15 50 to 99.999% of at least one feeding stimulant.
 - 25. A composition according to claim 24 comprising at least 0.0002% by weight fipronil.
 - 26. A composition according to claim 24 or 25 comprising from 0.002 to 0.010% by weight rodenticide.
- 20 27. A composition according to claim 24 or 25 comprising from 0.0025 to 0.0050% by weight rodenticide.
 - 28. A composition according to any one of claims 24 to 27 wherein the rodenticide is selected from the group consisting of brodifacoum and difethialone.
 - 29. A composition according to claim 24 or 25 wherein the rodenticide is
- brodifacoum and the composition comprises about 0.0050% by weight brodifacoum.

 30. A composition according to claim 24 or 25 wherein the rodenticide is
 - 30. A composition according to claim 24 or 25 wherein the rodenticide is differnial and the composition comprises about 0.0025% by weight differnial one.
 - 31. A rodenticidal bait composition comprising: an acaricidally effective amount up to 200 ppm of fipronil;
- an effective amount of a rodenticide selected from the group consisting of brodifacoum, difethialone, flocoumafen and mixtures thereof; and at least one feeding stimulant.
 - 32. A composition according to claim 31 comprising, by weight: at least 0.001% fipronil;
- from 0.001 to 0.025% rodenticide; and 50 to 99.998% of at least one feeding stimulant.
 - 33. A composition according to claim 31 or 32 comprising at least 0.0015% by weight fipronil.

15

20

- 34. A composition according to claim 31 or 32 comprising at least 0.0025% by weight fipronil.
- 35. A composition according to claim 31 or 32 comprising at least 0.0040% by weight fipronil.
- 5 36. A composition according to any one of claims 31 to 35 comprising from 0.002 to 0.010% by weight rodenticide.
 - 37. A composition according to any one of claims 31 to 35 comprising from 0.0025 to 0.0050% by weight rodenticide.
- 38. A composition according to any one of claims 31 to 37 wherein the rodenticide is selected from the group consisting of brodifacoum and difethialone.
 - 39. A composition according to any one of claims 31 to 35 wherein the rodenticide brodifacoum and the composition comprises about 0.0050% by weight brodifacoum.
 - 40. A composition according to claim any one of claims 31 to 35 wherein the rodenticide is diffethialone and the composition/comprises about 0.0025% by weight diffethialone.
 - 41. A composition according to any one of claims 23 to 40 further comprising at least one attractant.
 - 42. A composition according to any one of claims 23 to 41 further comprising one or more insecticidally and rodenticidally compatible excipients and/or adjuvants selected from the group consisting of dye, bittering agent, solvent, flow agent, binder, weatherability enhancer and preservative.
 - 43. A composition according to any one of claims 23 to 42 wherein the feeding stimulant is one or more items selected from the group consisting of: whole and processed seeds including cereal grains; sugar and sugar products; honey;
- meat and meat products including blood and fat; dairy products; eggs and egg products including shell and yolk; starch; whole and processed nuts; and vegetable oils.
 - 44. A composition according to claim 43 wherein the feeding stimulant is one or more items selected from the group consisting of:
- whole and processed seeds including cereal grains; sugar and sugar products; starch; whole and processed nuts; and vegetable oil.
 - 45. A rodenticidal bait composition comprising approximately by weight,: 0.004 % fipronil:
 - 0.005 % brodifacoum; and
- 35 50 to 99.7 % of at least one feeding stimulant.
 - 46. A rodenticidal bait composition comprising approximately by weight,:
 - 0.004 % fipronil:
 - 0.0025 % difethialone; and

50 to 99.7 % of at least one feeding stimulant.

47. A method of killing ticks and a host rodent thereof, comprising providing for ingestion to said rodent, a bait composition comprising an effective amount of a rodenticide selected from the group consisting of brodifacoum, difethialone, flocoumafen and mixtures thereof, and an acaricidally effective amount up to 200ppm

of fipronil.

5

10

20

- 48. A method according to claim 47 wherein the bait composition provided for ingestion by said rodent comprises at least 10 ppm fipronil.
- 49. A method according to claim 47 wherein the bait composition provided for ingestion by said rodent comprises at least 15 ppm fipronil.
- 50. A method according to claim 47 wherein the bait composition provided for ingestion by said rodent comprises at least 25 ppm fipronil.
- 51. A method according to claim 47 wherein the bait composition provided for ingestion by said rodent comprises at least 40 ppm fipronil.
- 15 52. A method according to claim 47 wherein the bait composition provided for ingestion by said rodent comprises from 10 to 250 ppm rodenticide.
 - 53. A method according to claim 47 wherein the bait composition provided for ingestion by said rodent comprises from 20 to 100 ppm rodenticide.
 - A method according to claim 47 wherein the bait composition provided for ingestion by said rodent comprises from 25 ppm to 50 ppm rodenticide.
 - 55. A method according to any one of claims 47 to 54 wherein the bait composition provided for ingestion by said rodent comprises a rodenticide selected from brodifacoum and difethialone.
- 56. A method according to any one of claims 47 to 51 wherein the bait composition provided for ingestion by said rodent comprises a rodenticide selected from brodifacoum in an amount of about 50 ppm.
 - 57. A method according to any one of claims 47 to 51 wherein the bait composition provided for ingestion by said rodent comprises a rodenticide selected from diffethialone in an amount of about 25 ppm.

This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record.

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

Deleged in the minder morade out the new minder to the recent the		
☐ BLACK BORDERS		
☐ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES		
☐ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING		
☐ BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING		
☐ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES		
☐ COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS		
☐ GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS		
LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT		
☐ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY		

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

□ OTHER: _____

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.